

**Wasatch County Fire Board of Directors Meeting**

**Tuesday June 11, 2019**

**Meeting held at the Wasatch County Administration Building located at 25 N Main, Heber City.**

**Those in Attendance:**

Spencer Park

Jeff Wade

Steve Farrell

Marilyn Crittenden

Kendall Crittenden

Danny Goode

Mark Nelson

Chief Ernie Giles

BC Jason Provost

BC Gary Sessions

BC Ben Fitzgerald

Fire Marshal Clint Neerings

Fire Warden Troy Morgan

EMS Director Clair Provost

Kierstan Smith

Eide Bailly Auditors

Items of Business to address:

**Minutes**

The minutes of the May 14, 2019 board meeting were presented to the board for their review and approval.

**A motion was made by Councilman Crittenden to approve the minutes of the May 14, 2019 fire board meeting. The motion was seconded by Councilman Nelson and the motion passed all in favor.**

## Warrants

The listing of warrants totaled \$180,335.36. This total included the added warrants and the payroll transmittals.

Councilwoman Crittenden- Can you explain the badges charge for \$1257.75 and then the one for dress uniforms for \$7500.

Chief Giles- We hired 7 employees last June and they had not received badges yet to wear on their uniforms. We also had some employees change position as well, for a total 15-20 new badges. I think they are about \$70-\$75 each. The uniforms are the class A uniforms that we wore at the banquet. The new guys didn't have any and others needed adjustments.

Councilwoman Crittenden- Is the banquet the only place that you wear those?

Chief Giles- No we also wear them to funerals and dress up occasions. They are used about 2-3 times a year.

**A motion was made by Councilman Nelson to approve the warrants as presented. The motion was seconded by Councilman Goode and the motion passed all in favor.**

## Chief report

Chief Giles- I will hand the time over to the auditors to present. Once they are done, I will have a few more items to take care of.

Mike Nicholson- I am an audit partner with Eide Bailly. We are here to talk about the results of our audit for 2018. We dated the audit report a week ago today and they should have been in your meeting packets for today. We issued 3 audit reports as well as an additional letter. With me I have David Peaden that is the audit manager that managed the on-site audit team. There are about 6 pages in the report that belong to us, the rest of it are the financial statements and footnotes that came from the organization. The report is broken into a few different sections, management is responsible for the financial statements and that includes, design and maintenance of internal controls over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to provide a reasonable but not absolute assurance that the financial statements are fairly stated. There are some limitations to an audit, we cannot audit 100 percent of transactions, we have to do things on a sample basis. We follow a specific set of standards that require that we assess risk, gain an understanding of the internal controls and establish a threshold. We start by asking management what has changed over the course of a year, any new or significant transactions to help us gain understanding of the entity. We also updated our documentation of the internal controls of financial reporting, that helps give us the information that we need to do our risk assessment. We look at line items as well as transaction that the entity is engaged in throughout the year. Then we will assign risk levels to the different audit areas. We also establish a materiality threshold, that is the threshold above which we believe a misstatement will change the readers judgement. At some level you will have a misstatement or error that most people will say is not that big of a deal, materiality is a judgement call. We plan our audits to detect any misstatement above that materiality threshold, there may be things under that threshold that we don't catch. We spend the most time on areas that are higher risk and have a larger sample in than lower risk areas. An example that is not risky is prepaid

taxes. There were so many misstatements and frauds in the area of revenue recognition that we now recognize it as a high-risk area. Government entities especially have issues with revenue recognition due to having two different types of financial statements, one on a full accrual basis for government wide statements and then the governmental fund financial statements have a different basis of accounting. After we have performed all of those procedures, we look at our audit evidence and determine if we have gathered enough information to issue our audit opinion. We look at the kind of evidence we were able to obtain. Our auditors opinion this year is unqualified opinion, so it is the financial statement opinion that says the financial statements are fairly presented in all material respects in relation to generally accepted accounting principles. The opinion itself is listed at the top of page two. The governmental county standards board requires some information that is beyond what is required in the gap financial statements and footnotes called required supplementary information. That is the management discussion analysis that immediately follows our auditors report and proceeds the financial statement. There are some pension schedules related to proportionate share of the pension liability and the pension contributions that are considered required supplementary information. We do some very limited procedures on those things such as comparing them to the financial statements to make sure that they are consistent. We don't issue an audit opinion on those. There are some total columns from 2017 so you can compare them to 2018, they are not a full presentation. If you look at the 2018 statement you will see multiple columns, you won't see those for 2017. If you want the more detailed statements for 2017 you will have to go back to that audit. Finally, we are required in the state of Utah to follow government auditing standards, there are a few additional standards in there. We have a paragraph at the end of the report that refers to that. The state of UT also requires that we do state compliance testing and issue a state compliance opinion as well. I have asked David to go through those reports.

Councilman Goode- Is it mandated by law that you cover all of the points that you just talked about or is it best practices?

Mike Nicholson- It's not by law, it is our standards. The audit standards require that we make those communications to a government board.

David – The reports that Mike referenced start on page 57. I will hit some key points. On page 57 we are reporting if there are any matters on internal control or compliance that should be communicated to the board. In this circumstance we don't have any deficiencies, internal control issues or non-compliance that needs to be communicated. This is obviously a really good thing. On page 59, the state compliance, at the very top it outlines the areas that we have performed testing if you want to know the specific areas. The key thing to look at is our opinion on page 60, "In our opinion Wasatch County Fire District complied in all material respects with the state compliance requirements". The state compliance requirements were followed. Similar to the prior report we will report any deficiencies. We do not have any deficiencies to report. If you look at page 61 you will note that we said there is nothing to report. On page 62 we have prior year findings. In the prior year we had 3 findings, the deficit fund balance, the budgetary compliance, 2017-c regarding review of quarterly reports. These have all been corrected in the current year which is why we do not have any findings. These findings have to do with the litigation of the assessment fund. Any questions?

Councilman Farrell- Where you outlined on page 59, you outlined the areas that you tested, does the state auditor give you that outline, or do they come up with a different outline?

David- They do. They take state law and say “here are the areas we want you to test”, they can change it and they also have some sections that are rotated. Some of these things we test on a rotating, every 3rd year basis.

Councilman Crittenden- Do all auditors get the same list?

David- All auditors get the same guide. The nice thing for this group, this is published annually in May or June so those requirements for the audit will be released shortly. The last thing is our letter, which includes the required communications. If there are significant accounting estimates (on page 2) we need to communicate those to you, we have documented that the allowance for accounts is a significant estimate and the net pension liability is as well. We have evaluated the reasonableness of those and determined that they are reasonable. Lastly: uncorrected and corrected misstatements at the bottom of page two and continuing on to page three, we would identify if there were any misstatements that were identified as part of the audit and were corrected by management or if there were any uncorrected misstatements. On both of those we do not have any. There was nothing to correct. Any questions?

Councilman Farrell- This will need to be field with state auditor by July 1

Kierstan Smith-Yes along with the certification form.

Mike- David mentioned we didn't have any audit or past adjustments. Things went really well this year. It was a clean audit, records were in good shape, everything was found nothing missing. Everything looked very good and financially sound.

**Motion made by Councilman Crittenden to accept the audit report for the 2018 audit as presented. The motion was seconded by Councilman Goode and the motion passed all in favor.**

Chief Giles- We have finalized the truck and the equipment on it for the new truck. We need to make a motion to transfer 188,343.00 from capital outlay to apparatus replacement.

Councilman Farrell- That is on the new ladder truck?

Chief Giles- This is for the new pumper that we just received a month ago. We just barely got the equipment on it.

Councilman Goode- Where is it transferring from?

Chief Giles- it will transfer from capital outlay to apparatus replacement.

**Motion made by Councilman Goode to transfer \$188,343.00 out of the capital funds and into apparatus replacement. The motion was seconded by Councilman Wade and the motion passed all in favor.**

Chief Giles- A few other things we will go over tomorrow at county council meeting.

Councilman Goode- We can do it at 3 tomorrow because there was a change to the agenda.

Chief Giles- All of you should have received a copy of the interlocal agreement draft between fire and the county. I wanted to get it out to you so you could read through it. If any of you have any questions that I can answer tonight so it doesn't take as much time tomorrow. The top page (page 1) is the legal

end of it. If you get into page 2, section 2, myself, Clair, Mike, Steve, Scott sweat were originally on this. Clair, myself and mike met again, and we made some redline corrections, we removed some and added some items. Section 2 paragraph A, I didn't feel comfortable with that, we added 3 years or until a station can be built and that is open to negotiations. That is for Clair and his secretary to have offices, they are down at the public works office right now.

Councilman Crittenden- Does the wording need to be fixed in this section?

Chief Giles- This is just a draft and Scott will need to go through and tune it up.

Councilman Goode- You are looking for the county to give them a physical place to be for a while, not paying for the staff.

Chief Giles- This is where Clair and his secretary are right now at Public Works.

Councilman Goode- Clair, are you anticipating that your secretary will still have split duties?

Clair Provost- There are no split duties now. Jamie is FT for EMS.

Chief Giles- We good to go to 3? Any other questions on 2?

Councilman Nelson- Will this MOU morph into a contract? This is just a step towards that?

Chief Giles- Correct. This will take effect at the end of the year (Jan 1)

Councilman Nelson-This will become a firm agreement.

Chief Giles- There is an MOU as we go further back and get into the equipment and decide what will be transferred.

Councilman Farrell- Has Mike seen all of these changes?

Councilman Goode- He has seen these changes? He won't be at tomorrow's meeting.

Chief Giles- Yes, he has seen them and made several changes as well. His assistant also sat in on the meeting, so he is aware of what has been going on.

Clair Provost- Everything that is in here, Mike was in a meeting with Ernie and Myself. Everything in there, Mike is aware of.

Chief Giles- It has worked out fairly well so far. On page 3 section C the top paragraph originally, I was going to do the liability insurance on ambulance, but it is hard to insure something I don't own. Originally the contract had stated that the district would carry the insurance, but I don't know how I can insure something I don't own. If you read down to the bottom paragraph on page 3 there is a lot of red line there, I am planning on taking all collections out of the January 1. We will see what the first three quarters were (as far as revenue generated), average it and then pay that and give the county back credit for October, November and December. They are about 3 months behind on that income. If I took it over in January, I would be getting stuff that was done in the end of 2018. We decided it would be best to do an average of three quarters and pay it to the county. That is the explanation of the removal of the paragraph at the bottom of page 3. It talks about the maintenance and the transfer I think most of this is pretty good and I know Mike felt good about it.

Councilman Nelson- Paragraph H is the money paragraph.

Chief Giles – On page 4, this gets into the part that I am going to lead into on the tax increase. This is dependent on getting and going forward with the tax increase. I need to have an idea of what road to go down. I do have some figures from Cal last week. The reason that is in red on section G, is that was \$900 has always been the figure that has been kicked around. As I said last meeting though, there are some costs that aren't really in Clair's budget. When we find those, and they should not be astronomical, they will be needed to be accounted for. \$10k, \$15k or \$20k makes a big difference on my budget.

Councilman Crittenden- At what point to we move those tax funds from the general fund? You raise yours and we lower the counties.

Chief Giles- I won't see the money until 2021 (if it passes this November). At that point and time, this contract is a 3-year deal, but it could be done as soon as one year. The three year could turn to 1 year so that the county is not paying the \$900k.

Councilman Goode- \$900k each year? Or \$900k split over the course of three years?

Chief Giles- Each year, but it could be as quick as one year. If this is approved in fall 2019, we will collect the money in 2021. At that point and time there would no longer be a need for the county to reimburse the \$900k.

Councilman Crittenden- in the 2021 budget, the county would decrease, and the district would increase.

Councilman Goode- In H where you are saying 1.4 million. That is the amount that you are asking for us to approve this year and it would take effect next year.

Chief Giles- In reality, it is about \$500k to the district because the 1.4 includes the \$900k amount. I had Cal give me some estimates on a few different increase amounts. \$700k is 18%, \$900 is 22%, 1.2 is 27.5% and 1.4 is 30.71%. Literally if you look at this what the fire district is really after, once you take the \$900k out, is about \$500k, which is 14%. It is a lot less than if you look at the whole thing.

Councilman Goode- if we do it this year, are you anticipating that we hold the mill rate steady beyond that? Or do you think we would let it float down? If we hold it steady then for how long, is it every other year? (Just the fire district)

Chief Giles- It is every other year and I think we hold it steady.

Councilman Farrell- Were there any numbers or anything on here for the new growth.

Chief Giles- I would collect a little over \$200k from what I collected last year on new growth. I visited with Buff and the value of the county has gone up. In visiting with her and Cal, these are the figures. Kristen checked with the state and their numbers match. If you look at those percentages, it is about \$200k of what that percentage is going to be. When you actually calculate from 9 to 1.4 you are looking roughly 14%. What was really surprising, is the average price of the home in Wasatch county, \$510k for an average home price.

Councilman Goode- is that accurate?

Councilman Farrell- Maybe we ought to look at the median home value

Councilman Goode- Over the last 16 months, 6% of the mortgages are ARM and trending up. It was 4 or 5 percent. People are buying these homes at a high price with adjustable rate mortgages if you figure that 10-15% of the mortgages are ARM, we can be in a bad spot economically.

Chief Giles- Some of these big homes really raise that average up. Isn't this what we have to do for our advertising? Isn't it tied to the average?

Councilman Goode- Nothing stops us from providing median information. It is at 21 percent?

Councilman Wade- in the 20's

Chief Giles- As we go through this agreement, I want to make sure that the council is on board.

Councilman Goode- G and H, I understand the intent and it is fine. The county will no longer need to reimburse once the district starts collecting. How are we transferring it? Cutting a check? Doing it in a creative way? This isn't like with St Regis.

Councilman Crittenden- When do we quit having it on the county fund and put it on the fire. We need to raise one and lower the other.

Councilman Farrell- We need to be careful because it won't be proportionate but not 100%

Councilman Crittenden- maybe adjust over 2 or 3 years

Chief Giles- If Mike holds the mill levy steady, it may or may not be an adjustment. I agree with Steve, it probably won't be proportionate.

Councilman Goode- The council needs to understand and be able to explain it. Each council member needs to be able to explain.

Councilman Nelson- It is not just the fire district that the citizens are thinking about but all the other things as well.

Chief Giles- The 3 years is in there to give us some room if we need it or if something happens, but it could very well be done by 2021.

Councilman Goode- On I, is the county going to terminate their license at the same time the district brings the license up?

Councilman Crittenden- The same person will hold the license, right?

Chief Giles – Originally, we were going to be partners. Mike wanted to hold the license until the liability transferred and then when liability is transferred the license would go to the district, which makes sense.

Councilman Goode- Who is the license holder now?

Chief Giles- Wasatch County

Councilman Crittenden- Under Kemp, right?

Clair Provost- Kemp is our medical director, Wasatch County holds the EMS license.

Councilman Goode- The license is under the county itself, not under a particular doctor's name?

Councilman Nelson- Is it a new application that we will do? Essentially, we are getting a new license, we are not transferring.

Clair Provost- We would need to reapply for the license under the name of the district.

Councilman Crittenden- Then we would terminate the other one at the same time?

Councilman Nelson- There is no threat that they will get rid of one and not give us another?

Clair Provost- No, I have been in contact with the state. They said if you want the county and the fire district on it, they are good with that.

Chief Giles- It could be joint, but Mike doesn't want the liability on the county. Which makes sense.

Councilman Farrell- If the district is the only one on the license is there any danger of someone else coming in and applying for a license.

Clair Provost- That has been a huge topic of conversation for us. They can only allow one license per county. Even now, if someone comes into our county to transport a patient, technically they should get permission from us first. Sometimes Gold cross will transport the pediatric team from SLC. I have had people call and say that we have a patient that needs to go to a certain place and asks if it is ok if they come in. For the most part I tell them no, if it gets beyond our ability to handle, I will say yes. We hold the license so there is really no change that anyone else can come in unless the fire board or, whoever is the entity over the fire district, wants to make a change they could do that. Our hope would be that would never happen.

Councilman Farrell- I want to say that we wouldn't look at issuing another license as long as we were providing the services.

Clair Provost- Correct.

Chief Provost – Are we ready for page 5? The paragraph toward the bottom is random so we removed it. J – dispatch service is currently being provided, the personnel used on interviews and that type of thing.

Councilman Goode- If the county determines that the dispatch needs revenue from the district, we can decide that.

Chief Giles- There may be someday that is needed but for now I have visited with Sheriff so that it is proportionate because they dispatch UHP and state parks for free. It's complicated but it is very expensive for them to keep that dispatch center running.

Councilman Goode- Did we get everything worked out with dispatch and the software so that dispatch gets all of the data.

Chief Giles- Yes, they are tagged as of first of the year. Medical is E and a number and Fire is F with a number. Before they were all coded to law enforcement.

Councilman Goode- If we start going for grants is that where the data comes from?

Chief Giles- Everyone wants that call volume, it is like our impact fee study, they want it so they can determine what our numbers are.

Councilman Goode- Was Jared the key to making that all happen?

Chief Giles- Yes.

Councilman Farrell- Did we get the 911 call situation figured out? The 911 calls were going to Kamas? Did we ever get a zip code for Jordanelle?

Chief Giles- It still sometimes does go to Kamas. We have had several meetings about it and Summit has been really good to work with. The calls at St Regis are tough because sometimes the calls would bounce off the cell tower and would send the 911 to summit. It has been sporadic, and we have meetings on it. Eric that is here has worked with us on those type of calls. It has been fairly good, better than it was when we started.

Councilman Crittenden- Is that part of what Jeremy hales does with the communication stuff?

Chief Giles- Not with this.

Councilman Nelson- For the rank and file regular Firefighters and ems, when this transition happens, will there be any material change to their employment, compensations, benefits, to their overall their situation?

Chief Giles- Yes, more than likely. Clair and I have been trying to figure out what to do and make it fair. Now they are employees of the district. Some were getting stipend on the district side and they worked on ems and were getting a stipend there as well. As we merge these together it will be challenging to say the least. At the last fire meeting last week, I made them all aware of the situation. It is not a huge amount of money but they run budgets off of it so I wanted to give them a heads up. I told them this may change in 6 months and to prepare for it, I told them I don't know how it is going to change but that it might. If you have a suggestion, I will take it.

Councilman Nelson- We are concerned talking about the county budget and the district budget. We need to equally talk about the people's budgets that this will impact.

Chief Giles- That is really important, and we need to retain them. I have looked at the numbers and the calls, 80-85 percent of calls are taken by full time fire employees. Do I rate my budget on 85 percent of the calls or do I base it on 100%? It is a huge amount of money to keep those volunteers, but I want to be fair them. This is such a hard decision because a lot of them are fire related. There are only 13 employees on ems that aren't fire related.

Councilman Goode- There is a discrepancy right now between what you are paid as an ems and as a firefighter? Based on years of service, level of education, benefits package.

Councilman Farrell- They get a stipend. A set amount each month to volunteer.

Councilman Goode- They don't get benefit package though.

Chief Giles- On fire side it is 25-year package, where on the county side it is 35 year. For the county anything over 40 hours is time and a half, where fire is 53 hrs. There are some advantages for the

employees. It is tough because you are dealing with family's lives and a lot of them do both and it is a devastating cut in pay depending on what we do.

Councilman Goode- Is every employee on a merit-based system in the fire department or is it merit based for the captains and above only?

Chief Giles- captains and above only, and paramedics.

Councilman Farrell- Stipend is about \$700 a month?

Chief Giles- It is about \$730/ month or about \$8,710 per year.

Clair Provost- It is the same for ems, however when ems goes out on a call they also receive an hourly rate when they are out on the call, so it is a little different.

Councilman Nelson- We mention first responders all the time, we pray for them, we should make sure to the best of our ability that we don't short-change them.

Chief Giles- I am open for any suggestions. It is hard because they are on fire and on ems because one of those is more than likely going to be adjusted. Even my fire only volunteers may be adjusted because their call volume has gone down immensely because we are handling 85% of the calls with the full-time crews. We are just in that period where we are not quite big enough to go all full time without volunteers. It is a tough balance and we have gone over it for months and it is my biggest hurdle with this.

Councilman Farrell- Some are collecting 2 stipends per month (around \$1500) and now it will be something less...

Chief Giles- It will be less but what that is, I don't know. I have talked to my people and asked for ideas, I have thought about going for a strict hourly rate, for training and to show up. You divide that into some of those volunteers and when the full-time crews are covering 80% and the volunteers are only working about 30 hours a year including classes/continuing ed. It may not be worth it for them.

Councilman Farrell- If you get too complex then it becomes an administrative nightmare.

Chief Giles- We are trying to keep the stipends because they have worked well for us for over 30 years, but we are to the point now that we need to change something. We need to resolve it in the next month or two because I need to set my budget. I wanted to give my people 6 months warning that this is coming down the pipe so that it is not a last minute "too bad about your luck kind of thing".

Clair Provost- On the ems side it was easy sometimes to say "I want to take my wife to dinner so will someone cover my shift for a few hours" with the stipend I would still get paid even though someone else was working the shift. To make it fair, we divided it up and required each to be on call 4 times per month. We decided that we would pay them by the shift, and it has worked out well. Ernie's part time guys don't have as many calls so he can't do that.

Chief Giles- When they are needed like in the event of a wildfire you need all you can get though.

Chief Giles- Page 5 dispatch service, at some point they may charge us for it. I think other than that we have hit about all the main points. I wanted to just go over it lightly tonight, so it isn't a big thing tomorrow night. The impact fee presentation will be tomorrow, and it doesn't look very long. I think it

will go fairly fast. From what I can see the fee will go up about \$500 from what was suggested in 2008. It just looks bad when it is currently at \$200 because the original amount of \$700 was not approved and now, looking back we are wishing that hadn't happened.

Councilman Goode- Our social media has gotten very good since the advisory board. The outreach has been very good. It is all the little things, reminders to the community, and reaching out, people start to feel like we are looking out for them and we are not just taking their money.

Chief Giles- I was on tv this morning this morning in Park city talking about this. The last thing is, look this tax thing over and if you look at the 1.4 it is really only the \$500k increase. That 1.4 looks like a big number, you have those numbers and percentages. That is all I have unless there are questions.

Councilman Goode- Where is the new truck going to be?

Councilman Crittenden- Midway

### **Fire Marshal Report**

Fire Marshal Neerings- Training update- we are halfway through the year we have sponsored a fire officer 1, a fire instructor 1 and a wildland S131 class. Troy taught the S131 class on shift to all of the firefighters, we are two people away from having everyone certified. We are two people away from all officer 1 certifications and one away from the instructor certification. We have been doing a training blitz. Along those lines as far as the public media presence goes, we have been putting a letter for Timberlakes that will go out in their quarterly newsletter. We are also working on gathering HOA information for other areas. It breaks down what they can do to help us help them as far as protecting their structures, evacuating when asked to, and how to protect your structures. It is really basic on what they can do to help. There are some checklists on our website with all of this information as well. Things they can do on their own to help us in the event of a wildfire such as clearing debris and cutting foliage back. We have started our first inspections in hideout for their sprinklers and hideout is working out really well. Epic engineering has asked us to come do their inspection and the relationships there are going very well.

Councilman Farrell—Clint have you had contact with the girl's camp on a fire evacuation plan

Fire Marshal Neerings – We work with them yearly; they have an evacuation plan that they feel comfortable with. It causes us a little bit of concern due to the demographics of who will be attending the camp and who will be being evacuated. Their plan is to walk them out a considerable distance in heat and smoke to “safe areas” that are less likely to burn. If it is dry enough, hot enough and there is enough wind anything burns. We try to work with them every year on that. Watching the evacuation and trying to increase it. Jeremy Hales has been working on trying to get the road up from Timberlakes and the girls camp up and out, so we have a second way out. Right now, they both converge into each other which brings problems. If you are trying to bring them out there and you have people in cars panicked and coming out there it could potentially have catastrophic effects.

Councilman Farrell- Shouldn't they be more concerned with making you happy with their plan?

Fire Marshal Neerings - In my opinion yes, they should follow our opinions because we have numerous experts suggesting they do it differently. They feel confident in what they have and until we can get that road up over the top and have a secondary access, it is the best we have at this point. We are working to make progress.

Councilman Farrell- How about the girl's scout camp in Bonanza Flat, have you touched base with them?

Fire Marshal Neerings- We inspect it yearly. They have never really reached out to do a plan with us. They have significantly less than the other camp. The Heber camp can keep upwards of 5000 a week. You will see greyhound busses 7 or 8 in a row. It is church use in the week and then they allow weekend events. It is a significant amount of people that we would have to evacuate in short notice.

Clair Provost- I got a letter from the girl scout camp yesterday, did you get it? Stating they would start at Rim Cloud Camp today.

Councilman Goode- Do you do any training for CPR?

Fire Marshal Neerings- As a district we don't. We have employees that are certified instructors, they use the facilities, but they aren't designated through us.

Councilman Goode- Do we ever offer classes as a district to people in the public that are interested in CPR training?

Chief Giles - As a district no. Generally, there are plenty of classes they can enroll in.

Councilman Goode- I have had 10 people ask in the last few months and they say they go to Park City or Provo for classes. We can charge enough to cover a class and make a few bucks it might be good PR.

Marshal Neerings- We can teach it the same way we do with scouts. The actual certification is where it bumps up the cost, the AHA requires a certain amount per each certification card etc...We do scouts and can teach it but don't provide certificate.

Clair Provost- Any time we get a request we have Janet, Tammy or Heidi take care of it. Last week Janet did a 4 hour course for all of public works. There are some that just want the knowledge.

Councilman Goode- These are people who need the certification?

Fire Marshal Neerings – You can have them get them in touch with Clair, Jamie or Kristen and they can give them a list of names and numbers to those who do certify. We have all the materials needed to teach and certify.

### **Fire Warden Report**

Fire Warden Morgan- No fires yet! Adding to what Clint said about community outreach, right now in the urban interface people are interested in information. After a bad fire year people are more inclined to learn how to save their homes. In low fire years that is not usually the case. We have had several HOA meetings, met with swiss mountain a few weeks ago and on the upcoming schedule I will be meeting with strawberry and 40 dam acres. We will do a chipping day and lot assessments, we will also be teaching them how to clear some of the brush and be safer. We are in the works with Timberlakes to

set up another day where we can do individual lot assessments. I did speak with Mayor Turner from Daniels and he will put us on the agenda July 1<sup>st</sup> so we can do some out-reach in some of their high hazard areas (Crazy Acres) and along highway 40. A lot of public concern and interest right now so we are trying to educate while there is interest.

Councilman Goode- Ernie what is happening with MIDA? Are you talking with Paul?

Chief Giles - DRC for MIDA- it has been challenging to say the least. It was set up and written for one building and now they are looking at adding another 3400 more acres. It was either 2400 or 3400, I can't remember. I just got the notice.

Councilman Farrell- There are only 200 ERU's

Chief Giles - We are on chapter 4, we have gone through 1-3 and it has taken us 3 meetings. Chapter 4 is where my nuts and bolts are, this includes cul-de-sac lengths, road lengths/widths etc.. I have had half a dozen or more meetings with them. The attorneys are going through things and saying there is no footage which is correct but there is a unit number (30). I told them that is where I was going to hang my hat where things are concerned so they have back pedaled. They got me a revised copy but it still says 15. They have been good and workable in a lot of ways, they have looked at other roads for access and been helpful. At first they shoot for the sun, but that is how it goes.

Councilman Goode- They said we need to remove the red tape from his project, last week.

Councilman Parks- It was on PC radio.

Chief Giles - I don't think there is a lot of red tape. That was the challenge for fire, it was written for one building (the hotel) and now it is half of the Jordanelle basin.

Councilman Goode- When they expand into Summit, I assume you won't have to do with that.

Chief Giles – I don't know, generally Summit will handle summit. When I did my tv interview at PCTV they mentioned that the lines between Wasatch and Summit were getting pretty gray, where I stand, they are pretty black and white to me.

Councilman Goode- Has MIDA started talking about a formalized agreement yet?

Chief Giles – With me, yes. We have started discussing what will be on that agreement, its still in progress.

Councilman Farrell- Didn't we already have a local agreement with MIDA?

Chief Giles- Yes, it was with the hotel side, which was pretty small being just the one building but now we are looking at possibly 4500 acres. I honestly think it is moving along good for what it is. I think we will be ready for recommendation on the 17<sup>th</sup> to the planning commission.

Dave Kennemar- We can help with this compensation issue. In sales, you might have someone who has assigned earnings on what you expect a salesman to make, let's just say that sales are 30k but you only pay 15k and the other 15k comes from performance, you could apply that here with the stipend for being on the list of people who can be called and then you get paid for work actually done. If someone is getting two stipends they are probably doing twice as much work, or they should be.

**A motion was made by Councilman Goode to adjourn. The motion was seconded by Councilman Crittenden and the motion passed all in favor.**